arXiv:math/0507328v1 [math.GT] 16 Jul 2005

GROUPS OF MEASURE-PRESERVING HOMEOMORPHISMS OF NONCOMPACT 2-MANIFOLDS

TATSUHIKO YAGASAKI

Abstract. Suppose M is a noncompact connected 2-manifold and μ is a good Radon measure of M with $\mu(\partial M) = 0$. Let $\mathcal{H}(M)$ denote the group of homeomorphisms of M equipped with the compact-open topology and $\mathcal{H}(M)_0$ denote the identity component of $\mathcal{H}(M)$. Let $\mathcal{H}(M;\mu)$ denote the subgroup of $\mathcal{H}(M)$ consisting of μ-preserving homeomorphisms of M and $\mathcal{H}(M;\mu)_0$ denote the identity component of $\mathcal{H}(M;\mu)$. We use results of A. Fathi and R. Berlanga to show that $\mathcal{H}(M;\mu)_0$ is a strong deformation retract of $\mathcal{H}(M)_0$ and classify the topological type of $\mathcal{H}(M;\mu)_0$.

1. Introduction

The purpose of this article is to study topological properties of the groups of measure-preserving homeomrophisms of noncompact 2-manifolds. Suppose M is a connected 2-manifold and X is a compact subpolyhedron of M with respect to some triangulation of M. Let $\mathcal{H}_X(M)$ denote the group of homeomorphisms h of M such that $h|_X = id_X$, equipped with the compact-open topology, and let $\mathcal{H}_X(M)_0$ denote the connected component of id_M in $\mathcal{H}_X(M)$. Suppose μ is a good Radon measure on M such that $\mu(\mathrm{Fr}\, X \cup \partial M) = 0$ (cf. §3). Let $\mathcal{H}_X(M;\mu)$ denote the subgroup of $\mathcal{H}_X(M)$ consisting of μ-preserving homeomorphisms and let $\mathcal{H}_X(M,\mu)_0$ denote the connected component of id_M in $\mathcal{H}_X(M,\mu)$.

A. Fathi and R. Berlanga introduced an intermediate subgroup $\mathcal{H}_X(M,\mu\text{-end-reg})$ between $\mathcal{H}_X(M)$ and $\mathcal{H}_X(M,\mu)$. According to R. Berlanga [3] $h \in \mathcal{H}(M)$ is said to be μ-end-regular if h preserves μ-null sets and μ-finite ends (see §3). Let $\mathcal{H}_X(M,\mu\text{-end-reg})$ denote the subgroup of $\mathcal{H}_X(M)$ consisting of μ-end-regular homeomorphisms of M and let $\mathcal{H}_X(M,\mu\text{-end-reg})_0$ denote the connected component of id_M in $\mathcal{H}_X(M,\mu\text{-end-reg})$.

When M is compact, $\mathcal{H}_X(M)$ is an ANR [10], cf. [13] and A. Fathi [5] showed that $\mathcal{H}(M,\mu)$ is a strong deformation retract of $\mathcal{H}(M,\mu\text{-end-reg})$ and the latter is homotopy dense in $\mathcal{H}(M)$. This means that $\mathcal{H}(M,\mu)$ is an ANR and a strong deformation retract of $\mathcal{H}(M)$. The topological characterization of ℓ_2-manifold [4] implies that $\mathcal{H}(M,\mu)$ is a ℓ_2-manifold.

In the case where M is noncompact, R. Berlanga [1, 2, 3] extended the section theorem for the action of $\mathcal{H}(M)$ on the space of good Radon measures on M [11, 5] to the noncompact case, and showed that $\mathcal{H}(M,\mu)$ is a strong deformation retract of $\mathcal{H}(M,\mu\text{-end-reg})$. On the other hand, we have shown that $\mathcal{H}_X(M)_0$ is an ANR [14] and $\mathcal{H}_X^{\mathrm{PL}}(M)_0$ is homotopy dense in $\mathcal{H}_X(M)_0$ [15]. Here $\mathcal{H}_X^{\mathrm{PL}}(M)_0$ is the connected component of id_M in the group of PL-homeomorphisms of M (with

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 57S05, 28D15, 58C35, 57N05.

Key words and phrases. Measure-preserving homeomorphisms, Homeomorphism groups, 2-manifolds.

respect to any triangulation of M). Since we can isotope the triangulation of M so that $\mathcal{H}_X^{\mathrm{PL}}(M)_0 \subset \mathcal{H}_X(M, \mu\text{-end-reg})_0$ (§4), it follows that $\mathcal{H}_X(M, \mu\text{-end-reg})_0$ is also homotopy dense in $\mathcal{H}_X(M)_0$. Note that some sort of arguments on PL-triangulation is necessary to include the compact polyhedron X in our statements. We can combine these results together to obtain the noncompact version of Fathi's results in dimension 2.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose M is a connected 2-manifold and X is a compact subpolyhedron of M with respect to some triangulation of M. Then $\mathcal{H}_X(M,\mu)_0$ is an ANR and it is a strong deformation retaract of $\mathcal{H}_X(M)_0$.*

The homotopy type of $\mathcal{H}_X(M)_0$ has been classified in [7, 14]. The infinite-dimensional manifold theory (cf. [12]) enables us to classify the topological type of $\mathcal{H}_X(M)_0$.

Corollary 1.1. *$\mathcal{H}_X(M,\mu)_0$ is a topological ℓ_2-manifold and $\mathcal{H}_X(M,\mu)_0 \cong P \times \ell_2$ when $\mathcal{H}_X(M)_0$ has the homotopy type of a compact polyhedron P.*

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is devoted to generalities on ANR's, ℓ_2-manifolds, homeomorphism grous and ends of spaces. Section 3 includes fundametal facts on spaces of Radon measures. In Section 4 we show some properties of Radon measures which are necessary to prove Theorem 1.1.

2. HOMEOMORPHISM GROUPS OF NONCOMPACT 2-MANIFOLDS

2.1. **Conventions.** Throughout the paper spaces are assumed to be separable and metrizable, and maps are always continuous (otherwise specified). The symbol $\cong$ indicates a homeomorphism and $\simeq$ denotes a homotopy equivalence (HE). The term "strong deformation retract (or retraction)" is abbreviated as SDR. When A is a subset of a space X, the symbols $\mathrm{Fr}_X A$, $\mathrm{cl}_X A$ and $\mathrm{Int}_X A$ denote the frontier, closure and interior of A relative to X. When M is a manifold, $\partial = \partial M$ and $\mathrm{Int}\, M$ denote the boundary and interior of M as a manifold.

2.2. **ANR's and ℓ_2-manifolds.**

A metrizable space X is called an ANR (absolute neighborhood retract) if any map $f : B \to X$ from a closed subset B of a metrizable space Y has an extension to a neighborhood U of B.

Definition 2.1. A subspace B of a space Y is said to be homotopy dense (HD) in Y (or B has the homotopy absorption property in Y) if there exists a homotopy $f_t : Y \to Y$ $(0 \le t \le 1)$ such that $f_0 = id_Y$ and $f_t(Y) \subset B$ $(0 < t \le 1)$.

Lemma 2.1. *If B is HD in Y, then* (i) *the inclusion $B \subset Y$ is a HE and* (ii) *Y is an ANR iff B is an ANR* [8].

The symbol ℓ_2 denotes the separable Hilbert space $\{(x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^\infty : \sum_n x_n^2 < \infty\}$. An ℓ_2-manifold is a separable metrizable space which is locally homeomorphic to ℓ_2. For topological groups there is a simple characterization of ℓ_2-manifolds.

Theorem 2.1. (T. Dobrowolski - H. Toruńczyk [4]) *A topological group G is an ℓ_2-manifold iff it is a separable, non locally compact, completely metrizable ANR.*

2.3. **Homeomorphism groups of noncompact 2-manifolds.**

Suppose Y is a locally connected, locally compact, separable metrizable space and A, X are closed subsets of Y. Let $\mathcal{H}_X(Y, A)$ denote the group of homeomorphisms h of Y such that $h(A) = A$ and $h|_X = id_X$, equipped with the compact-open topology. $\mathcal{H}_X(Y, A)_0$ denotes the connected component of id_M in $\mathcal{H}_X(Y, A)$. It is known that $\mathcal{H}_X(Y, A)$ is a separable, completely metrizable, topological group.

Definition 2.2. When Y is a polyhedron, $\mathcal{H}_X^{\mathrm{PL}}(Y, A)$ denotes the subgroup of $\mathcal{H}_X(Y, A)$ consisting of PL-homeomorphisms of Y and $\mathcal{H}_X^{\mathrm{PL}}(Y, A)_0$ denotes the connected component of id_M in $\mathcal{H}_X^{\mathrm{PL}}(Y, A)$.

Every 2-manifold has a PL-triangulation.

Theorem 2.2. *Suppose M is a connected PL 2-manifold and X is a compact subpolyhedron of M. Then* (i) *$\mathcal{H}_X(M)_0$ is an ANR* [10, 14] *and* (ii) *$\mathcal{H}_X^{\mathrm{PL}}(M)_0$ is HD in $\mathcal{H}_X(M)_0$* [6, 15].

2.4. **Ends of spaces.** (cf. [3])

Suppose Y is a connected, locally connected, locally compact, separable metrizable space. Let $\mathcal{K}(Y)$ denote the set of comapct subsets of Y and for each $K \in \mathcal{K}(Y)$ let $\mathcal{C}(Y - K)$ denote the set of connected components of $Y - K$.

Definition 2.3. (i) An end of Y is a function e which assigns an $e(K) \in \mathcal{C}(Y - K)$ to each $K \in \mathcal{K}$ such that $e(K_1) \supset e(K_2)$ if $K_1 \subset K_2$.

(ii) $\mathcal{E}(Y)$ denotes the set of ends of Y. The end compactification of Y is the space $\overline{Y} = Y \cup \mathcal{E}(Y)$ equipped with the topology defined by the following conditions:

(a) Y is an open subset of $\overline{Y}$

(b) the fundamental open neighborhoods of $e \in \mathcal{E}(Y)$ is given by

$$N(e, K) = e(K) \cup \{e' \in \mathcal{E}(Y) \mid e'(K) = e(K)\} \quad (K \in \mathcal{K}(Y)).$$

The space $\overline{Y}$ is compact, connected, metrizable and Y is a dense open subset of $\overline{Y}$. (If Y is compact, then $\mathcal{E}(Y) = \emptyset$ and $\overline{Y} = Y$.)

For $h \in \mathcal{H}(Y)$ and $e \in \mathcal{E}(Y)$ an end $h(e) \in \mathcal{E}(Y)$ is defined by $h(e)(K) = h(e(h^{-1}(K)))$ $(K \in \mathcal{K}(Y))$. Each $h \in \mathcal{H}(Y)$ extends naturally to $\overline{h} \in \mathcal{H}(\overline{Y})$ by $\overline{h}(e) = h(e)$ $(e \in \mathcal{E}(Y))$. If $h \in \mathcal{H}(Y)_0$, then $h(e) = e$ $(e \in \mathcal{E}(Y))$.

3. FUNDAMENTAL FACTS ON RADON MEASURES

Next we recall general facts on spaces of Radon measures cf. [3, 5].

3.1. **Spaces of Radon measures.**

Suppose Y is a connected, locally connected, locally compact, separable metrizable space. Let $\mathcal{B}(Y)$ denote the σ-algebra of Borel subsets of Y. A Radon measure on Y is a measure μ on the measurable space $(Y, \mathcal{B}(Y))$ such that $\mu(K) < \infty$ for any compact subset K of Y. Let $\mathcal{M}(Y)$ denote the set of Radon measures on Y.

Definition 3.1. The weak topology w on $\mathcal{M}(Y)$ is the weakest topology such that the function

$$\Phi_f : \mathcal{M}(Y) \to \mathbb{R} : \ \Phi_f(\mu) = \int_Y f\, d\mu$$

is continuous for any continuous function $f : Y \to \mathbb{R}$ with compact support. The notation $\mathcal{M}(Y)_w$ denotes the space $\mathcal{M}(Y)$ equipped with the weak topology w.

For $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(Y)$ and $A \in \mathcal{B}(Y)$ the restriction $\mu|_A \in \mathcal{M}(A)$ is defined by $(\mu|_A)(B) = \mu(B)$ $(B \in \mathcal{B}(A))$.

Lemma 3.1. ([3, Lemma 2.2]) *For any closed subset A of Y the function*

$$\mathcal{M}(Y)_w \to \mathcal{M}(A)_w : \ \mu \mapsto \mu|_A$$

is continuous at each $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(Y)$ with $\mu(\mathrm{Fr}A) = 0$.

We say that $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(Y)$ is good if $\mu(p) = 0$ for any point $p \in Y$ and $\mu(U) > 0$ for any nonempty open subset U of Y. For $A \in \mathcal{B}(Y)$ let $\mathcal{M}_g^A(Y)$ denote the subset of good Radon measures μ on Y with $\mu(A) = 0$.

Definition 3.2. For $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(Y)$ the function $\alpha(\mu) : \mathcal{E}(Y) \to \{0, \infty\}$ is defined by

$$\alpha(\mu)(e) = \begin{cases} 0 & (\mu(e(K)) < \infty \ \text{ for some } K \in \mathcal{K}(Y)), \\ \infty & (\mu(e(K)) = \infty \ \text{ for any } K \in \mathcal{K}(Y)). \end{cases}$$

We obtain the subspaces of μ-finite ends and μ-infinite ends,

$$\mathcal{E}_f(Y;\mu) = \{e \in \mathcal{E}(Y) \mid \alpha(\mu)(e) = 0\} \ \text{ and } \ \mathcal{E}_i(Y;\mu) = \{e \in \mathcal{E}(Y) \mid \alpha(\mu)(e) = \infty\}.$$

Definition 3.3. For $A, X \in \mathcal{B}(Y)$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_g^A(Y)$ we consider the following subspaces of $\mathcal{M}_g^A(Y)$:

- (i) $\mathcal{M}_g^A(Y; \mu\text{-end-reg}) = \{\nu \in \mathcal{M}_g^A(Y) \mid (a), (b), (c)\}$:
  - (a) $\nu(Y) = \mu(Y)$,
  - (b) ν has the same null sets as μ (i.e., $\nu(B) = 0$ iff $\mu(B) = 0$ for any $B \in \mathcal{B}(Y)$),
  - (c) $\alpha(\nu) = \alpha(\mu)$.
- (ii) $\mathcal{M}_g^A(Y, X; \mu\text{-end-reg}) = \{\nu \in \mathcal{M}_g^A(Y;\mu) \mid (d), (e)\}$:
  - (d) $\nu|_X = \mu|_X$,
  - (e) $\nu(C) = \mu(C)$ for any $C \in \mathcal{C}(Y - X)$.

Suppose $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(Y)$. We consider the subspace $Y \cup \mathcal{E}_f(Y;\mu)$ of $\overline{Y}$ and the space $\mathcal{M}(Y \cup \mathcal{E}_f(Y;\mu))_w$ of good Radon measures on $Y \cup \mathcal{E}_f(Y;\mu)$. Each $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_g(Y; \mu\text{-end-reg})$ has a natural extension $\overline{\nu} \in \mathcal{M}(Y \cup \mathcal{E}_f(Y;\mu))$ defined by $\overline{\nu}(B) = \nu(B \cap Y)$ $(B \in \mathcal{B}(Y \cup \mathcal{E}_f(Y;\mu)))$.

Definition 3.4. The finite-end weak topology ew on $\mathcal{M}_g(Y;\mu\text{-end-reg})$ is the weakest topology for which the following injection is continuous:

$$\iota : \mathcal{M}_g(Y;\mu\text{-end-reg}) \to \mathcal{M}(Y \cup \mathcal{E}_f(Y;\mu))_w \ : \ \nu \mapsto \overline{\nu}\,.$$

The notation $\mathcal{M}_g(Y;\mu\text{-end-reg})_{ew}$ denotes the space $\mathcal{M}_g(Y;\mu\text{-end-reg})$ equipped with the topology ew.

The subspace $\mathcal{M}_g^A(Y,X;\mu\text{-end-reg})_{ew}$ has a contraction $\varphi_t(\nu) = (1-t)\nu + t\mu$ $(0 \le t \le 1)$.

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_g(Y)$, X is a compact subset of Y with $\mu(\mathrm{Fr}_Y X) = 0$, $U \in \mathcal{C}(Y - X)$ and $A = cl_Y U$. Assume that A is locally connected. Then the restriction map*

$$r : \mathcal{M}_g(Y,X;\mu\textit{-end-reg})_{ew} \ \longrightarrow\ \mathcal{M}_g(A;\mu|_A\textit{-end-reg})_{ew}, \qquad r(\nu) = \nu|_A$$

is continuous.

Proof. We use the following notations: $\partial U = \{e \in \mathcal{E}(Y) \mid e(X) = U\}$, $Y_1 = Y \cup \mathcal{E}_f(Y;\mu)$ and $A_1 = A \cup (\partial U \cap \mathcal{E}_f(Y;\mu))$. Then A_1 is a closed subset of Y_1 and the inclusion $i : A \subset Y$ induces a homeomorphism $\overline{i} : A \cup \mathcal{E}_f(A;\mu|_A) \ \cong\ A_1$.

Consider the following commutative diagram :

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{M}_g(Y,X;\mu\text{-end-reg})_{ew} \arrow[rr, "r"] \arrow[d, hook, "\iota"] & & \mathcal{M}_g(A;\mu|_A\text{-end-reg})_{ew} \arrow[d, hook, "\iota_A"] \\
\mathcal{M}_g^{\mathrm{Fr}_{Y_1}A_1}(Y_1)_w \arrow[r, "r_1"'] & \mathcal{M}_g(A_1)_w & \mathcal{M}_g(A \cup \mathcal{E}_f(A;\mu|_A))_w \arrow[l, "\cong", "\overline{i}_*"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here, $r(\nu) = \nu|_A$, $\iota(\nu) = \overline{\nu}$, $\iota_A(\lambda) = \overline{\lambda}$, $r_1(\lambda) = \lambda|_{A_1}$ and $\overline{i}_*$ is the homeomorphism induced by $\overline{i}$ (cf. §3.2). By Lemma 3.1 r_1 is continuous and ι, $\overline{i}_*$ are also continuous. Thus, by Definition 3.4 the map r is continuous. ☐

3.2. **Induced measures.**

Suppose Y and Z are connected, locally connected, locally compact separable metric spaces and $f : Y \to Z$ is a proper map ($f^{-1}(K)$ is compact for any $K \in \mathcal{K}(Z)$). For $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(Y)$ the induced measure $f_*\mu \in \mathcal{M}(Z)$ is defined by $(f_*\mu)(C) = \mu(f^{-1}(C))$ $(C \in \mathcal{B}(Z))$.

Lemma 3.3. *The map $f_* : \mathcal{M}(Y)_w \to \mathcal{M}(Z)_w$ is continous.*

Suppose E is a closed subset of Y, F is a closed subset of Z, $f(E) = F$ and f maps $Y - E$ homeomorphically onto $Z - F$.

Definition 3.5. For $\nu \in \mathcal{M}^F(Z)$ we define $f^*\nu \in \mathcal{M}^E(Y)$ by

$$(f^*\nu)(B) = \nu(f(B - E)) \ \ (B \in \mathcal{B}(Y)).$$

If $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_g^F(Z)$ and $\mathrm{Int}\, E = \emptyset$, then $f^*\nu \in \mathcal{M}_g^E(Y)$.

Lemma 3.4. (1) *The following maps are reciplocal homeomorphisms :*

$$f_* : \mathcal{M}^E(Y)_w \to \mathcal{M}^F(Z)_w \qquad\qquad f^* : \mathcal{M}^F(Z)_w \to \mathcal{M}^E(Y)_w.$$

(2) *If $\overline{f} : \mathcal{E}(Y) \to \mathcal{E}(Z)$ is bijective, then for any $\nu \in \mathcal{M}^F(Z)$ the following maps are reciplocal homeomorphisms :*

$$f_* : \mathcal{M}^E(Y, f^*\nu\text{-}end\text{-}reg)_{ew} \to \mathcal{M}^F(Z, \nu\text{-}end\text{-}reg)_{ew} \quad f^* : \mathcal{M}^F(Z, \nu\text{-}end\text{-}reg)_{ew} \to \mathcal{M}^E(Y, f^*\nu\text{-}end\text{-}reg)_{ew}$$

3.3. **Groups of measure-preserving homeomorphisms.**

Suppose Y is a connected, locally connected, locally compact, separable metrizable space and $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(Y)$.

Definition 3.6. Let $h \in \mathcal{H}(Y)$. We say that

(i) h preserves μ if $h_*\mu = \mu$ (i.e., $\mu(h(B)) = \mu(B)$ for any $B \in \mathcal{B}(Y)$),

(ii) ([5]) h is μ-biregular if $h_*\mu$ and μ have the same null sets (i.e., $\mu(h(B)) = 0$ iff $\mu(B) = 0$ for any $B \in \mathcal{B}(Y)$).

(iii) ([3]) h is μ-end-regular if h is μ-biregular and $\alpha(h_*\mu) = \alpha(\mu)$.

Definition 3.7. Suppose A and X are closed subsets of Y.

(i) $\mathcal{H}_X(Y, A; \mu)$ denotes the subgroup of $\mathcal{H}_X(Y, A)$ consisting of μ-preserving homeomorphisms. $\mathcal{H}_X(Y, A; \mu)_0$ denotes the connected components of id_X in $\mathcal{H}_X(Y, A; \mu)$.

(ii) $\mathcal{H}_X(Y, A; \mu\text{-end-reg})$ denotes the subgroup of $\mathcal{H}_X(Y, A)$ consisting of μ-end-regular homeomorphisms. $\mathcal{H}_X(Y, A; \mu\text{-end-reg})_0$ denotes the connected components of id_X in $\mathcal{H}_X(Y, A; \mu\text{-end-reg})$.

Lemma 3.5. (1) *For $h \in \mathcal{H}(Y)$ we have $\alpha(h_*\mu)(\overline{h}(e)) = \alpha(\mu)(e)$ $(e \in \mathcal{E}(Y))$. In particular, if $h \in \mathcal{H}(Y)_0$, then $\overline{h}(e) = e$ $(e \in \mathcal{E}(Y))$ and $\alpha(h_*\mu) = \alpha(\mu)$.*

(2) *If $h \in \mathcal{H}_X(Y)_0$, then $h(C) = C$ for any $C \in \mathcal{C}(Y - X)$.*

3.4. **Actions of homeomorphism groups on spaces of Radon measures.**

Suppose Y is a connected, locally connected, locally compact, separable metrizable space, X and A are closed subsets of Y. The topological group $\mathcal{H}(Y, A)$ acts continuously on the space $\mathcal{M}_g^A(Y)_w$ by $h \cdot \nu = h_*\nu$. For each $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_g^A(Y)_w$ the subgroup $\mathcal{H}(Y, A; \nu)$ coincides with the stabilizer $\mathcal{H}(Y, A)_\nu$ of ν under this action.

For $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_g^A(Y)$ consider the subgroup

$$\mathcal{H}_X(Y, A; \mu\text{-end-reg})' = \{h \in \mathcal{H}_X(Y, A; \mu\text{-end-reg}) \mid \mu(h(C)) = \mu(C) \ (C \in \mathcal{C}(Y - X))\}.$$

By Lemma 3.5 (2) we have $\big(\mathcal{H}_X(Y, A; \mu\text{-end-reg})'\big)_0 = \mathcal{H}_X(Y, A; \mu\text{-end-reg})_0$. The above action induces the continuous action of $\mathcal{H}_X(Y, A; \mu\text{-end-reg})'$ on $\mathcal{M}_g^A(Y, X; \mu\text{-end-reg})_{ew}$. There exists a natural orbit map

$$\pi : \mathcal{H}_X(Y, A; \mu\text{-end-reg})' \to \mathcal{M}_g^A(Y, X; \mu\text{-end-reg})_{ew} \qquad \pi(h) = h_*\mu.$$

A continuous section of the orbit map π is a map

$$\sigma : \mathcal{M}_g^A(Y, X; \mu\text{-end-reg})_{ew} \to \mathcal{H}_X(Y, A; \mu\text{-end-reg})_0$$

such that $\pi\sigma = id$ (i.e., $\sigma(\nu)_*\mu = \nu$ $(\nu \in \mathcal{M}_g^A(Y, X; \mu\text{-end-reg})_{ew})$). By the notation $\mathcal{S}(Y, X, A, \mu)$ we mean the existence of a section of the orbit map π for the data (Y, X, A, μ).

Lemma 3.6. *Suppose $\mathcal{S}(Y, X, A, \mu)$ holds. Then*

(i) $(\mathcal{H}_X(Y, A; \mu\text{-}\textit{end-reg})', \mathcal{H}_X(Y, A; \mu)) \cong \mathcal{H}_X(Y, A; \mu) \times (\mathcal{M}_g^A(Y, X; \mu\text{-}\textit{end-reg})_{ew}, \{\mu\})$,

(ii) $(\mathcal{H}_X(Y, A; \mu\text{-}\textit{end-reg})_0, \mathcal{H}_X(Y, A; \mu)_0) \cong \mathcal{H}_X(Y, A; \mu)_0 \times (\mathcal{M}_g^A(Y, X; \mu\text{-}\textit{end-reg})_{ew}, \{\mu\})$,

(iii) $\mathcal{H}_X(Y, A; \mu)_0$ *is a SDR of* $\mathcal{H}_X(Y, A; \mu\text{-}\textit{end-reg})_0$.

Proof. By the assumption the orbit map π has a section σ. Replacing $\sigma(\nu)$ by $\sigma(\nu)\sigma(\mu)^{-1}$, we may assume that $\sigma(\mu) = id_Y$.

(i) The required homeomorphism

$$\Phi : \mathcal{H}_X(Y, A; \mu\text{-end-reg})' \cong \mathcal{H}_X(Y, A; \mu) \times \mathcal{M}_g^A(Y, X; \mu\text{-end-reg})_{ew}$$

is defined by $\Phi(h) = (\sigma(h_*\mu)^{-1}h, h_*\mu)$. The inverse is given by $\Phi^{-1}(g, \nu) = \sigma(\nu)g$.

(ii) Since $\Phi(id_Y) = (id_Y, \mu)$ and $\mathcal{M}_g^A(Y, X; \mu\text{-end-reg})_{ew}$ is connected, it follows that

$$\Phi(\mathcal{H}_X(Y, A; \mu\text{-end-reg})_0) = \mathcal{H}_X(Y, A; \mu)_0 \times \mathcal{M}_g^A(Y, X; \mu\text{-end-reg})_{ew}.$$

(iii) The singleton $\{\mu\}$ is a SDR of $\mathcal{M}_g^A(Y, X; \mu\text{-end-reg})_{ew}$. □

Lemma 3.7. *Suppose Y and Z are connected, locally connected, locally compact separable metric spaces, E is a closed subset of Y with $\mathrm{Int}_Y E = \emptyset$ and F is a closed subset of Z with $\mathrm{Int}_Z F = \emptyset$. Suppose $f : Y \to Z$ is a proper map, $f(E) = F$, f maps $Y - E$ homeomorphically onto $Z - F$ and $\overline{f} : \mathcal{E}(Y) \to \mathcal{E}(Z)$ is bijective. Let $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_g^F(Z)$. We have the induced measure $f^*\nu \in \mathcal{M}_g^E(Y)$. Under these conditions $\mathcal{S}(Y, E, E, f^*\nu)$ implies $\mathcal{S}(Z, F, F, \nu)$.*

Proof. Let $\mu = f^*\nu$. Then we have the following commutative diagram :

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{H}_E(Y; \mu\text{-end-reg})_0 \arrow[r, "\pi_Y"] \arrow[d, "\varphi"'] & \mathcal{M}_g^E(Y, E; \mu\text{-end-reg})_{ew} \arrow[d, "f_*"] \\
\mathcal{H}_F(Z; \nu\text{-end-reg})_0 \arrow[r, "\pi_Z"'] & \mathcal{M}_g^F(Z, F; \nu\text{-end-reg})_{ew}
\end{tikzcd}.$$

Here, π_Y and π_Z are the orbit maps and f_* is a homeomorphism with the inverse f^* (Lemma 3.4). For each $h \in \mathcal{H}_E(Y; \mu\text{-end-reg})_0$ there exists a unique $\overline{h} \in \mathcal{H}_F(Z; \nu\text{-end-reg})_0$ with $\overline{h}f = fh$. The map φ is defined by $\varphi(h) = \overline{h}$. By the assumption the orbit map π_Y has a section σ_Y. The required section σ_Z of the orbit map π_Z is defined by $\sigma_Z = \varphi\sigma_Y f^*$. □

4. RADON MEASURES ON MANIFOLDS

4.1. Section theorem — a relative version.

Suppose M is a connected n-manifold. For any $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_g^\partial(M)$ the group $\mathcal{H}(M; \mu\text{-end-reg})$ acts continuously on $\mathcal{M}_g^\partial(M; \mu\text{-end-reg})_{ew}$.

Theorem 4.1. (von Neumann-Oxtoby-Ulam [11]) *Suppose M is a compact connected n-manifold. If $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}_g^\partial(M)$ and $\mu(M) = \nu(M)$, then there exists $h \in \mathcal{H}_\partial(M)_0$ such that $h_*\mu = \nu$.*

Theorem 4.2. (A. Fathi [5], R. Berlanga [3]) *Suppose M is a connected n-manifold. Then for any $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_g^\partial(M)$ the orbit map $\pi : \mathcal{H}(M; \mu\text{-end-reg}) \to \mathcal{M}_g^\partial(M; \mu\text{-end-reg})_{ew}$, $\pi(h) = h_*\mu$ has a section $\sigma : \mathcal{M}_g^\partial(M; \mu\text{-end-reg})_{ew} \to \mathcal{H}_\partial(M; \mu\text{-end-reg})_0$.*

We need a relative version of this section theorem.

Corollary 4.1. *Suppose M is a connected PL n-manifold, $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_g^\partial(M)$ and X is a compact subpolyhedron of M such that $\mu(\mathrm{Fr}\, X) = 0$. Then the orbit map*

$$\pi : \mathcal{H}_X(M; \mu\text{-end-reg})' \to \mathcal{M}_g^\partial(M, X; \mu\text{-end-reg})_{ew} : \ \pi(h) = h_*\mu$$

has a section $\sigma : \mathcal{M}_g^\partial(M, X; \mu\text{-end-reg})_{ew} \to \mathcal{H}_{X \cup \partial}(M; \mu\text{-end-reg})_0$.

Proof. Let Y_i $(i = 1, \cdots, m)$ denote the closures of connected components of $M - X$. For each i, set $\partial Y_i = (\mathrm{Fr}_M Y_i) \cup (Y_i \cap \partial M)$ and $\mathrm{Int}\, Y_i = Y_i - \partial Y_i$. Since $\partial Y_i \subset \mathrm{Fr}_M X \cup \partial M$, we have $\mu(\partial Y_i) = 0$, and by Lemma 3.2, the restriction map

$$\lambda_i : \mathcal{M}_g^\partial(M, X; \mu\text{-end-reg})_{ew} \to \mathcal{M}_g^{\partial Y_i}(Y_i; \mu|_{Y_i}\text{-end-reg})_{ew}, \quad \lambda_i(\nu) = \nu|_{Y_i}$$

is continuous.

Since the 2nd derived neighborhood of $\mathrm{Fr}_M Y_i$ in Y_i is a PL-mapping cylinder neighborhood of $\mathrm{Fr}_M Y_i$ in Y_i, we can construct a connected PL n-manifold N_i and a proper onto map $f_i : N_i \to Y_i$ such that $f_i(\partial N_i) = \partial Y_i$, f_i maps $\mathrm{Int}\, N_i$ homeomorphically onto $\mathrm{Int}\, Y_i$ and $\overline{f}_i : \mathcal{E}(N_i) \to \mathcal{E}(Y_i)$ is a homeomorphism. We apply Lemma 3.7 to these data and $\mu_i = \mu|_{Y_i} \in \mathcal{M}_g^\partial(Y_i)$. By Theorem 4.2 $\mathcal{S}(N_i, \partial, \partial, f_i^*(\mu_i))$ holds and by Lemma 3.7 $\mathcal{S}(Y_i, \partial, \partial, \mu_i)$ also holds. Thus, we obtain a section σ_i of the orbit map

$$\pi_i : \mathcal{H}_\partial(Y_i; \mu_i\text{-end-reg})_0 \to \mathcal{M}_g^\partial(Y_i; \mu_i\text{-end-reg})_{ew}, \quad \pi_i(g) = g_*\mu_i.$$

Since $M = X \cup (\cup_i Y_i)$ and $\mathrm{Fr}_M\, X = \cup_i \mathrm{Fr}_M\, Y_i$, the required section σ of π is defined by

$$\sigma(\nu) = \begin{cases} id_X & \text{on } X \\ \sigma_i(\lambda_i(\nu)) & \text{on } Y_i \ \ (i = 1, \cdots, m) \end{cases} \qquad (\nu \in \mathcal{M}_g^\partial(M, X; \mu\text{-end-reg})).$$

□

By Corollary 4.1 and Lemma 3.6 we have the following conclusion.

Corollary 4.2. *Under the condition of Corolalry 4.1, for any closed subset A of ∂M*

(i) $(\mathcal{H}_{X \cup A}(M, \mu\text{-end-reg})_0, \mathcal{H}_{X \cup A}(M; \mu)_0) \cong \mathcal{H}_{X \cup A}(M, \mu)_0 \times (\mathcal{M}_g^\partial(M, X; \mu\text{-end-reg})_{ew}, \{\mu\})$,

(ii) $\mathcal{H}_{X \cup A}(M; \mu)_0$ *is a SDR of* $\mathcal{H}_{X \cup A}(M; \mu\text{-end-reg})_0$.

4.2. **PL-structures compatible with Radon measures.**

We show that any PL-structure can be deformed to a PL-structure compatible with a given Radon measure.

Proposition 4.1. *Suppose M is a PL n-manifold, $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_g^\partial(M)$ and $X \subset X_0$ are closed subpolyhedra of M with $\mu(\mathrm{Fr}_M X) = 0$ and $\mu(X_0 - X) = 0$. Then there exists a PL-structure on M for which (i) X and X_0 are subpolyhedra of M and (ii) $\mathcal{H}_X^{\mathrm{PL}}(M)_0 \subset \mathcal{H}_X(M; \mu\text{-end-reg})$.*

Proof. The PL-structure of M is given by a pair (T, φ), where T is a simplicial complex which is a combinatorial n-manifold and $\varphi : |T| \cong M$ is a homeomorphism. Since X and X_0 are subpolyhedron of M, subdividing T if necessary, we may assume that there are subcomplexes S and S_0 of T such that $X = \varphi(|S|)$ and $X_0 = \varphi(|S_0|)$. Let $T^{(i)}$ denote the i-skeleton of T, while $T_{(i)}$ denotes the set of i-simplexes of T.

Claim 1. *For each $i = 0, \cdots, n-1$*

$(*)_i$ *there exists a PL-isotopy $f_t^i \in \mathcal{H}_{X_0 \cup \partial M}^{\mathrm{PL}}(M)_0$ such that $f_0^i = id_M$ and $\mu(f_1^i(\varphi(|T^{(i)}|) - X)) = 0$.*

We proceeds by the induction on i.

$(*)_0$: Since μ is a good measure, $\mu(\varphi(|T^{(0)}|)) = 0$ and we can take $f_t^0 = id_M$.

$(*)_{i-1} \Longrightarrow (*)_i$ $(i = 1, \cdots, n-1)$: Given the isotopy f_t^{i-1} in $(*)_{i-1}$. Let $\psi = f_1^{i-1}\varphi$ and consider the barycentric subdivision $\mathrm{st}\, T$ of T. For every $\sigma \in T_{(i)}$ we put $B_\sigma = \mathrm{st}\,(b(\sigma), \mathrm{st}\, T)$ (the star of the barycenter $b(\sigma)$ of σ in $\mathrm{st}\, T$). Then (i) B_σ is a PL n-ball, $\sigma \cap \partial B_\sigma = \partial\sigma$, (ii) $|T| = \cup_{\sigma \in T_{(i)}} B_\sigma$, $B_\sigma \cap B_\tau = \partial B_\sigma \cap \partial B_\tau$ $(\sigma, \tau \in T_{(i)}, \sigma \neq \tau)$, (iii) $|T^{(i-1)}| \cap \mathrm{Int} B_\sigma = \emptyset$, $|S| \subset \cup_{\sigma \in S_{(i)}} B_\sigma$. The PL n-balls $\psi(B_\sigma)$ also have the similar properties.

For each $\sigma \in T_{(i)}$, (a) if $\varphi(\sigma) \not\subset X_0 \cup \partial M$, then by (i) we can find an isotopy $g_t^\sigma \in \mathcal{H}_{\psi(\partial B_\sigma)}^{\mathrm{PL}}(\psi(B_\sigma))$ $(t \in [0,1])$ such that $g_0^\sigma = id_{\psi(B_\sigma)}$ and $\mu(g_1^\sigma\psi(\sigma)) = 0$, and (b) if $\sigma \subset X_0 \cup \partial M$, then we put $g_t^\sigma = id_{\psi(B_\sigma)}$. By (ii) we can define a PL-isotopy $g_t \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{PL}}(M)_0$ by $g_t = g_t^\sigma$ on B_σ. Since $f_1^{i-1} = id$ on $X_0 \cup \partial M$, in the case (a) $\psi(B_\sigma) \cap (X_0 \cup \partial M) = f_1^{i-1}(\varphi(B_\sigma) \cap (X_0 \cup \partial M)) \subset f_1^{i-1}(\varphi(\partial\sigma)) \subset f_1^{i-1}\varphi(\partial B_\sigma) = \psi(\partial B_\sigma)$. Thus we have $g_t = id$ on $X_0 \cup \partial M$.

Define a PL-isotopy $f_t^i \in \mathcal{H}_{X \cup \partial M}^{\mathrm{PL}}(M)_0$ by $f_t^i = f_{2t}^{i-1}$ $(t \in [0,1/2])$ and $f_t^i = g_{2t-1}f_1^{i-1}$ $(t \in [1/2,1])$.

If $\sigma \in T_{(i)}$ and $\varphi(\sigma) \not\subset X_0 \cup \partial M$, then $\psi(\sigma) \subset \psi(B_\sigma)$ and $f_1^i(\varphi(\sigma)) = g_1\psi(\sigma) = g_1^\sigma\psi(\sigma)$, so $\mu(f_1^i(\varphi(\sigma))) = 0$. Since $\mu(\partial M) = 0$ and $\mu(X_0 - X) = 0$, we have $\mu(f_1^i(\varphi(|T^{(i)}|) - X)) = 0$. This completes the inductive step. □

Claim 2. *There exists an isotopy $h_t \in \mathcal{H}_{X_0 \cup \partial M}(M)$ such that $h_0 = id_M$ and (i), (ii) hold w.r.t. the PL-structure $\psi = h_1\varphi : |T| \cong M$.*

Proof. By Claim 1 there exists a PL-isotopy $f_t = f_t^{(n-1)} \in \mathcal{H}_{X_0 \cup \partial M}^{\mathrm{PL}}(M)_0$ such that $\mu(f_1(\varphi(|T^{(n-1)}| - |S|))) = 0$. For any $\sigma \in T_{(n)} - S$ $(= T_{(n)} - S_0)$ we have the PL n-ball $C_\sigma = f_1(\varphi(\sigma))$. Since $\partial C_\sigma = f_1(\varphi(\partial\sigma)) \subset f_1(\varphi(|T^{(n-1)}| - \mathrm{Int}\,|S|)) \subset f_1(\varphi(|T^{(n-1)}| - |S|)) \cup \mathrm{Fr}\, X$ and $\mu(\mathrm{Fr}\, X) = 0$ by the assumption, it follows that $\mu(\partial C_\sigma) = 0$ and $\mu_\sigma := \mu|_{C_\sigma} \in \mathcal{M}_g^\partial(C_\sigma)$.

Consider the Lubesgue measure m on $\mathbb{R}^n$. The restriction of m to the n-cube $I^n := [0,1]^n \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is denoted by the same symbol. Since any affine isomorphism of $\mathbb{R}^n$ is m-biregular, any PL-homeomorphism between two subpolyhedra of $\mathbb{R}^n$ is also m-biregular.

Choose a PL-homeomorphism $\alpha_\sigma : C_\sigma \cong I^n$. Then $(\alpha_\sigma)_*\mu_\sigma \in \mathcal{M}_g^\partial(I^n)$ and if we set $c_\sigma = \big((\alpha_\sigma)_*\mu_\sigma\big)(I^n)(= \mu(C_\sigma) > 0)$, then by von Neumann-Oxtoby-Ulam theorem (Theorem 4.1 (1)) there exists an isotopy $\beta_t^\sigma \in \mathcal{H}_\partial(I^n)$ such that $\beta_0^\sigma = id$ and $(\beta_1^\sigma)_*(\alpha_\sigma)_*\mu_\sigma = c_\sigma m$. We put $\gamma_t^\sigma = \beta_t^\sigma\,\alpha_\sigma : C_\sigma \cong I^n$.

Define $g_t \in \mathcal{H}_{X\cup f_1\varphi(|T^{(n-1)}|)}(M)_0$ by $g_t|_X = id_X$ and $g_t|_{C_\sigma} = (\gamma_t^\sigma)^{-1}\,\alpha_\sigma \in \mathcal{H}_{\partial C_\sigma}(C_\sigma)_0$ ($\sigma \in T_{(n)} - S$). Finally we define $h_t = g_t f_t \in \mathcal{H}_{X\cup\partial M}(M)_0$.

By M' and C'_σ we denote M and C_σ which have the PL-structure given by $\psi = h_1\varphi : |T| \cong M$. Note that $C'_\sigma = \psi(\sigma)$. It follows that $X = \psi(|S|)$ and $\gamma_1^\sigma = \beta_1^\sigma\alpha_\sigma = (\alpha_\sigma f_1\varphi)\psi^{-1} : (C'_\sigma, \mu_\sigma) \cong (I^n, c_\sigma m)$ is a measure-preserving PL-homeomorphism.

Suppose $h \in \mathcal{H}_X^{\mathrm{PL}}(M')$. By Lemma 3.5 (1) we only have to show that h is μ-biregular. There exist subdivisions T_1, T_2 of T and a simplicial isomorphism $k : T_1 \to T_2$ such that $h\psi = \psi|k|$. Take any $\tau_1 \in T_1$ with $\tau_1 \not\subset |S|$. There exist $\tau_2 \in T_2$ with $|k|(\tau_1) = \tau_2$ and $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in T_{(n)}$ with $\tau_1 \subset \sigma_1$, $\tau_2 \subset \sigma_2$. Since $|k| = id$ on $|S|$, it follows that $\tau_2 \not\subset |S|$ and $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \not\subset |S|$ and we have the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C'_{\sigma_1} \arrow[r, phantom, "\supset"] \arrow[d, "\gamma_{\sigma_1}"', "\cong"] & \psi(\tau_1) \arrow[r, "h"] \arrow[d, "\gamma_{\sigma_1}"', "\cong"] & \psi(\tau_2) \arrow[r, phantom, "\subset"] \arrow[d, "\cong"', "\gamma_{\sigma_2}"] & C'_{\sigma_2} \arrow[d, "\cong"', "\gamma_{\sigma_2}"] \\
I^n \arrow[r, phantom, "\supset"] & \gamma_{\sigma_1}(\psi(\tau_1)) \arrow[r] & \gamma_{\sigma_2}(\psi(\tau_2)) \arrow[r, phantom, "\subset"] & I^n
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since $\gamma_{\sigma_2}\,h\,(\gamma_{\sigma_1})^{-1} : \gamma_{\sigma_1}(\psi(\tau_1)) \cong \gamma_{\sigma_2}(\psi(\tau_2))$ is a PL-homeomorphism, it is m-biregular. Since $\gamma_{\sigma_i} : (\psi(\tau_i), \mu) \cong (\gamma_{\sigma_i}(\psi(\tau_i)), c_\sigma m)$ $(i = 1, 2)$ are measure-preserving, it follows that $h : \psi(\tau_1) \cong \psi(\tau_2)$ is μ-biregular. Since $h|_X = id_X$ is μ-biregular, it follows that h itself is μ-biregular as required.

This completes the proof of Proposition 4.1. $\square$

4.3. **Non locally compactness of $\mathcal{H}_X(M,\mu)_0$.**

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose M is an n-manifold, X is a closed subset of M ($X \neq M$) and $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_g^\partial(M)$. Then $\mathcal{H}_X(M,\mu)_0$ is not locally compact.*

Proof. (1) First we show that $\mathcal{H}_\partial(J^n, m)$ is not compact, where $J^n = [-1,1]^n$ and m is the n-dimensional Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Consider the sequence of points $\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{q}_k = (0, \cdots, 0, 1/k) \in \mathrm{Int}\, J^n$ $(k \geq 2)$. For each $k = 2, 3, \cdots$, we can take n-balls D_k, E_k in $\mathrm{Int}\, J^n$ (of the form $[a_1, b_1] \times \cdots \times [a_n, b_n]$) such that $\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{q}_2 \in \partial D_k$, $\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{q}_k \in \partial E_k$ and $m(D_k) = m(E_k)$, and $h_k \in \mathcal{H}_\partial(J^n)$ such that $h_k(D_k) = E_k$, $h_k(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}$ and $h_k(\boldsymbol{q}_2) = \boldsymbol{q}_k$.

For the homeomorphism $h_k : D_k \cong E_k$, since $(h_k)_*m \in \mathcal{M}_g^\partial(E_k)$ and $((h_k)_*m)(E_k) = m(D_k) = m(E_k)$, by Theorem 4.1 there exists $f_k \in \mathcal{H}_\partial(E_k)$ such that $(f_k)_*(h_k)_*m = m$. Similarly, for the homeomorphism $h_k : cl(J^n - D_k) \cong cl(J^n - E_k)$, since $(h_k)_*m \in \mathcal{M}_g^\partial(cl(J^n - E_k))$ and $((h_k)_*m)(cl(J^n -$

$E_k)) = m(cl(J^n - D_k)) = m(cl(J^n - E_k))$, there exists $g_k \in \mathcal{H}_\partial(cl(J^n - E_k))$ such that $(g_k)_*(h_k)_*m = m$.

Define $\varphi_k \in \mathcal{H}_\partial(J^n;\mu)$ by $\varphi_k = f_k h_k$ on D_k and $\varphi_k = g_k h_k$ on $cl(J^n - D_k)$. Since $\|\varphi_k(\mathbf{0}) - \varphi_k(\boldsymbol{q}_2)\| = 1/k \to 0$, any subsequence of φ_k does not converge in $\mathcal{H}_\partial(J^n)$ and hence $\mathcal{H}_\partial(J^n;\mu)$ is not compact.

(2) Suppose $\mathcal{H}_X(M,\mu)$ is locally compact. Then id_M has a compact neighborhood $\mathcal{F}$ in $\mathcal{H}_X(M,\mu)$. There exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\mathcal{N}(id_M, \varepsilon) \subset \mathcal{F}$. Take an n-ball B in M such that $\operatorname{diam} B < \varepsilon$ and $\mu(\partial B) = 0$. Put $c = \mu(B)$. There is a measure-preserving homeomorphism $(J^n, cm) \cong (B,\mu)$ and this yields a natural closed embedding $\mathcal{H}_\partial(J^n, cm) \cong \mathcal{H}_\partial(B,\mu) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}$ (extending by id on $M - B$). This contradicts the non-compactness of $\mathcal{H}_\partial(J^n, m)$. ☐

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose M is an n-manifold, X is a closed subset of M and $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_g^\partial(M)$. Then $\mathcal{H}_X(M,\mu)$ (or $\mathcal{H}_X(M,\mu)_0$) is an ℓ_2-manifold iff it is an ANR and $X \neq M$.*

Proof. Suppose $\mathcal{G} \equiv \mathcal{H}_X(M,\mu)$ (or $\mathcal{H}_X(M,\mu)_0$) is an ANR and $X \neq M$. Since $\mathcal{H}_X(M)$ is separable and completely metrizable (cf. [14]) and $\mathcal{H}_X(M,\mu)$ is a closed subgroup of $\mathcal{H}_X(M)$, it follows that $\mathcal{G}$ is also a separable, completely metrizable topological group. Since $X \neq M$, by Lemma 4.1 $\mathcal{G}$ is not locally compact. Thus, by Theorem 2.1 $\mathcal{G}$ is an ℓ_2-manifold. ☐

5. GROUPS OF MEASURE-PRESERVING HOMEOMORPHISMS OF 2-MANIFOLDS

Suppose M is a connected 2-manifold and X is a compact subpolyhedron of M with respect to some triangulation of M.

Lemma 5.1. (1) *$\mathcal{H}_X(M;\mu\text{-end-reg})_0$ is an ANR and HD in $\mathcal{H}_X(M)_0$.*

(2) *$\mathcal{H}_X(M;\mu)_0$ is an ANR and a SDR of $\mathcal{H}_X(M;\mu\text{-end-reg})_0$.*

(3) *$\mathcal{H}_X(M;\mu)_0$ is a SDR of $\mathcal{H}_X(M)_0$.*

Proof. (1) By Propsoition 4.1 M has a PL-triangulation such that X is a subpolyhedron and $\mathcal{H}_X^{\mathrm{PL}}(M)_0 \subset \mathcal{H}_X(M;\mu\text{-end-reg})_0$. Since $\mathcal{H}_X^{\mathrm{PL}}(M)_0 \subset \mathcal{H}_X(M;\mu\text{-end-reg})_0 \subset \mathcal{H}_X(M)_0$ and $\mathcal{H}_X^{\mathrm{PL}}(M)_0$ is HD in $\mathcal{H}_X(M)_0$ ([15, Theorem 3.2]), it follows that $\mathcal{H}_X(M;\mu\text{-end-reg})_0$ is also HD in $\mathcal{H}_X(M)_0$. Since $\mathcal{H}_X(M)_0$ is an ANR ([14]), by Lemma 2.1 $\mathcal{H}_X(M;\mu\text{-end-reg})_0$ is also an ANR.

(2) By Corollary 4.2 $\mathcal{H}_X(M;\mu)_0$ is a SDR of $\mathcal{H}_X(M;\mu\text{-end-reg})_0$. By (1) $\mathcal{H}_X(M;\mu)_0$ is also an ANR.

(3) Since $\mathcal{H}_X(M;\mu)_0$ is a closed subset of $\mathcal{H}_X(M)_0$, using the absorbing homotopy in (1) and the SDR in (2) we can easily construct a SDR of $\mathcal{H}_X(M)_0$ onto $\mathcal{H}_X(M;\mu)_0$. ☐

Proof of Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.1. The assertions follow from Lemma 5.1 and Lemma 4.2. ☐

REFERENCES

[1] Berlanga, R and D. B. A. Epstein, Measures on sigma-compact manifolds and their equivalence under homeomorphism, *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* 27 (1983) 63 - 74.

[2] Berlanga, R, A mapping theorem for topological sigma-compact manifolds, *Compositio Math.,* 63 (1987) 209 - 216.

[3] Berlanga, R, Groups of measure-preserving homeomorphisms as deformation retracts, *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* 68 (2003) 241 - 254.

[4] Dobrowolski, T. and Toruńczyk, H., Separable complete ANR's admitting a group structure are Hilbert manifolds, *Top. Appl.*, 12 (1981) 229 - 235.

[5] Fathi, A., Structures of the group of homeomorphisms preserving a good measure on a compact manifold, *Ann. scient. Ẽc. Norm. Sup. (4)* 13 (1980) 45 - 93.

[6] Geoghegan, R. and Haver, W. E., On the space of piecewise linear homeomorphisms of a manifold, *Proc. of Amer. Math. Soc.,* 55 (1976) 145 - 151.

[7] Hamstrom, M. E., Homotopy groups of the space of homeomorphisms on a 2-manifold, *Illinois J. Math.,* 10 (1966) 563 - 573.

[8] Hanner, O., Some theorems on absolute neighborhood retracts, *Ark. Mat.* 1 (1951) 389 - 408.

[9] Hu, S. T., Theory of Retracts, Wayne State Univ. Press, Detroit, 1965.

[10] Luke, R. and Mason, W. K., The space of homeomorphisms on a compact two - manifold is an absolute neighborhood retract, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.,* 164 (1972), 275 - 285.

[11] Oxtoby, J. and Ulam, S., Measure preserving homeomorphisms and metrical transitivity, *Ann. of Math.,* 42 (1941) 874 - 920.

[12] van Mill, J., *Infinite-Dimensional Topology: Prerequisites and Introduction,* North-Holland, Math. Library 43, Elsevier Sci. Publ. B.V., Amsterdam, 1989.

[13] Yagasaki, T., Spaces of embeddings of compact polyhedra into 2-manifolds, *Topology Appl.,* 108 (2000) 107 - 122.

[14] Yagasaki, T., Homotopy types of homeomorphism groups of noncompact 2-manifolds, *Topology Appl.,* 108 (2000) 123 - 136.

[15] Yagasaki, T., The groups of PL and Lipschitz homeomorphisms of noncompact 2-manifolds, *Bulletin of the Polish Academy of Sciences, Mathematics,* 51(4) (2003), 445 - 466.

Department of Mathematics, Kyoto Institute of Technology, Matsugasaki, Sakyoku, Kyoto 606, Japan
E-mail address: `yagasaki@ipc.kit.ac.jp`